The Effectiveness of Positive Thinking Training on Mental Toughness and Social Well-being in Derelict Adolescents

Aida Kiarostami[1*], Marzieh Jeddi[2], Sharareh Roohinezhad[3], Roshanak Hakimi[4]

1. MA, General Psychology, Department of Psychology, Shahr-e- Qods Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

2. MA, Educational Psychology, Islamshahr Branch, Islamic Azad University, Islamshahr, Iran

3. MA, Industrial and Organizational Psychology, Central Tehran Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

4. MA, General Psychology, Mahallat Branch, Islamic Azad University, Mahallat, Iran

* Corresponding author's Email: Baraneordibehesht53@gmail.com

Abstract: Mental toughness and social well-being are supportive characteristics that help adolescents, especially derelict adolescents, to cope effectively with environmental challenges. Accordingly, the present study was conducted to investigate the effectiveness of positive thinking training on mental toughness and social well-being in derelict adolescents. The research method was quasi-experimental pre-test-post-test with a control group. The statistical population of the study consisted of all derelict adolescents aged 13 to 18 years under the auspices of the Welfare Center of Karaj, Iran in the second six months of 2020. By accessible sampling method, 30 people were selected and randomly assigned to the control and experimental groups (15 people in each group). The experimental group received a Rashid (2009) Positive Intervention Package in 14 sessions for seven weeks, two 60-minutes sessions per week. The control group did not receive any intervention during this period. Research data were collected using Clough et al. Mental Toughness Questionnaire and Keyes's Social Well-being questionnaire (KSWBQ). Multivariate analysis of covariance was used to test the research hypotheses. The results indicated that positivity education increased the mental toughness and social well-being in derelict adolescents. Accordingly, the findings suggest that supportive institutions use positivity thinking training as an effective intervention to increase the positive virtues such as mental toughness and social well-being in derelict adolescents.

Keywords: Positive thinking training, Mental toughness, Social well-being, Derelict adolescents

Introduction

The disintegration of the family and various other factors in the Iranian society has made many families face the neglect of children ((Aghai & Mirmohammadi, 2018). Derelict children refers to children who are deprived of support, care and effective maintenance by their families for various reasons and do not have the possibility to live in the family environment. Due to the unknown parents, divorce and separation from each other, abandonment of the family by the father, addiction of the parents, the lack of moral and social competence, the absence of parental influence, the existence of disorder, chaos and incompatibility, running away from home due to having a violent, authoritarian and unstable family, being rejected and abandoned and are kept in public centers such as orphanages and charity centers (Ahangari, Eshaghi, Rasouli, & Tahan, 2019).

The social well-being of derelict teenagers as groups at risk is one of the main priorities of Iran (Alizadeh, Raheb, Mirzaee, & Hosseinzadeh, 2020). Social well-being is one of the dimensions of health that affects or is affected by both physical and mental dimensions and it is the quantity and

quality of the interaction of a person with the surrounding environment for the welfare of the society (Damari, Emami Razavi, Hajebi, & Elahi, 2021). Social well-being is influenced by several factors; one of the structures that have been taken into consideration in this regard is the ability of a person to face the adversities of life or actually mental toughness. The meaning of mental toughness is to consider a human being as an independent, valuable, efficient and capable being who can use logical and reasonable coping methods to solve problems and face problems even in the most critical and stressful situations (Crust & Clough, 2011). In fact, mental toughness is a personality trait that is a factor for improving health and is a combination of beliefs about oneself and the world that makes a person resistant to internal and external pressures and makes a person successfully overcome difficult and threatening situations (Rahmati & Naaimikia, 2015). People with high mental toughness can understand and control the environment in order to have a meaningful and appropriate behavior or action (Lindblad, Sandelin, Petersson, Rohani, & Langius-Eklöf, 2016). Mental toughness can protect a person against stressful life conditions and has strong associations with health and health-related behavior and has been shown to predict psychological well-being (Grevenstein & Bluemke, 2015).

One of the effective ways to improve people's living and working conditions is to use positive thinking training (Shoshtarirezvani, Jaladati, Hojatansari, & Bahrami, 2021). Positive thinking training is one of the methods derived from the third wave of psychotherapy and is effective in improving psychological characteristics, which emphasizes identifying and cultivating strengths and weaknesses with the aim of improving health (Morley, 2015). This intervention, with emphasis on the creation and expansion of positive emotions, seeks to create a shield against mental disorders and create and increase positive psychological characteristics (Bekhet, 2017). Instead of deep concentration on human inabilities and weaknesses, positive psychology is focused on human abilities such as living happily, enjoying, problem solving and optimism (Seligman & Csikszentmihalyi, 2014). The aim of this approach is to discover the methods by which people can express their altruistic feeling of pleasure and happiness and play a more prominent role in creating happy in family, professional situation and social environments (Foroozanfar, 2020; Sharifikia, Ahangri, Askari, & Tahan, 2019). This approach emphasizes the positive processes and abilities of people and advises therapists to pay attention to the positive processes of their clients and incorporates symptoms with strengths, resources with risks, weaknesses with values, and hopes with regrets (Rashid, 2015). Earlier studies indicated the effectiveness of positive thinking training on the mental toughness of students (Melhe, Salah, & Hayajneh, 2021), on the psychological toughness of first secondary school students (Kazemi, Mousavi, Rasoulzadeh, Mohammadi, & Mohammadi, 2020), on the psychological toughness of female students (Mortazavi Emami, Ahghar, Pirani, Heidari, & HamidiPour, 2019), on mental health of students (Shoshtarirezvani et al., 2021), on psychological health of mothers with children Autism (Rashidzadea, Beyrami, Touraghashemi, & Mirnasab, 2019), on psychological well-being of elderly women (Sayadi Sarini, Hojatkhah, & Rashidi, 2016), and on psychological well-being of students ((Ghiasi, Tabatabaee, & Nasri, 2016).

Also, studies have shown the effectiveness of positive thinking training on social well-being and its components (Dargahi, Mohsenzade, & Zahrakar, 2015; Dehghannezhad, Hajhosseini, & Ejei, 2017; Otis-Green & Juarez, 2012). For instance, on theoretical constructs from the field of positive psychology to interpret the impact of music festival attendance on participants' psychological and social well-being, a study presented a conceptual model in order to guide further research in this area, and enable both festival organizers and attendees to take optimal advantage of the potential of music festivals to impact positively on young adults' psychological and social well-being (Packer & Ballantyne, 2011).

Social well-being is one of the basic indicators of the development of any society, which leads to the efficiency of the individual in the society. Also, social well-being is an important factor in accepting social norms, which enables a person to maintain a positive balance and avoid undesirable responses such as high-risk behaviors (Ghoreishi Rad & Pour JabbarAkhouni, 2019). On the other hand, the decrease in life expectancy and lack of mental strength can cause problems in derelict adolescents. Also, considering that most of the researches in the field of social well-being and mental toughness have been done on normal adolescents and less studies have been done on derelict adolescents and considering the effectiveness of positive thinking training on the personality and social characteristics of adolescents, conducting researches in this field is necessary and using the results of these researches in the field of identification and prevention and solving the problems of derelict adolescents is one of the important research requirements. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to investigate the effectiveness of positive thinking training on the mental toughness and social well-being in derelict teenagers.

Material and Methods

The research method was quasi-experimental pre-test-post-test with a control group. The statistical population is all derelict adolescents aged 13 to 18 years under the auspices of the Welfare Center of Karaj, Iran in the second six months of 2020. By accessible sampling method, 30 people were selected and randomly assigned to the control and experimental groups (15 people in each group). Inclusion criteria were age range of 12-18 years, stay in Welfare Center for more than 1 year, willingness to cooperate, ability to respond to self-report tools, not having severe psychological disorder according to the report of Welfare Center consultants. Exclusion criteria included absence of more than two sessions, refusal to participate in the study, and incomplete response to the research tools.

Instruments

Mental Toughness Scale (MTS): This 48-question scale was designed by Levy, Polman, Clough, Marchant, and Earle (2006) and has 6 subscales of challenge (8 questions), commitment (11 questions), emotional control (7 questions), and life control (7 questions).), self-confidence in abilities (9 questions) and interpersonal self-confidence (6 questions) on a 5-point Likert scale from 1 (completely disagree) to 5 (completely agree). The range of scores is between 48 and 240, and the higher the score indicates higher mental toughness. Levy et al. (2006) reported a reliability coefficient

of 0.90 using the test-retest method and its content validity was reported as favorable. Sohrabi, Abedanzade, Shetab Boushehri, Parsaei, and Jahanbakhsh (2017) confirmed its content validity and reported the reliability of the questionnaire using Cronbach's alpha for the subscales in the range of 0.74 to 0.78, and the total reliability of the questionnaire 0.86. The reliability of this questionnaire in the present study was obtained with Cronbach's alpha method of 0.77.

Social Well-Being Scale: This 20-question questionnaire was developed by Keyes and Shapiro (2004). It has 5 subscales of social actualization (questions 1-4); social integration (questions 5-7), social coherence (questions 8-10), social acceptance (questions 11-15) and social contribution (questions 16-20) on a 5-point Likert scale (very high, 5 and very low, 1). The range of scores is between 20 and 100, and higher scores indicate higher social well-being. Babapour Kheiroddin, Toosi, and Hekmati (2010) confirmed the validity of this tool with the internal consistency method. They also reported the reliability of the mentioned questionnaire using Cronbach's alpha method for the total scale of 0.78. The reliability of this questionnaire in the present study was obtained with Cronbach's alpha method of 0.75.

In this research, the participants in both groups were asked to answer the research questionnaires in pre-test. The experimental group received a Rashid (2009) Positive Intervention Package in 14 sessions for seven weeks, two 60-minute sessions per week. The control group did not receive any intervention during this period. Then a post-test was taken from both groups. The summary of the intervention sessions is presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Summary of Positive Intervention Package sessions

Session	Title	Content
1	Orientation	Lack of positive resources perpetuates depression. 1. The role of absence or lack of positive emotions, capabilities, character and meaning in the persistence of depression is discussed. 2. The framework of positive psychotherapy, the role of the therapist and the responsibilities of clients are discussed. A pre-test is taken
2	Commitment	Determine specific capabilities. 1. The clients determine their special abilities from the positive introduction and discuss the situations that this ability helped them. 2. Three paths leading to happiness, joyfulness and meaning are discussed.
3	Commitment/Joyfulness	Developing special abilities and positive emotions 1. The developing of special abilities is discussed; clients are prepared to form specific, objective and accessible behaviors. 2. The role of positive emotions in well-being is discussed.
4	Joyfulness	Good memories versus bad memories 1. The role of good and bad memories in well-being is discussed. 2. Encouraging clients to express their feelings with anger and bitterness
5	Joyfulness /Commitment	Forgiveness Introducing forgiveness as a tool that turns anger and bitterness into neutral emotions and even positive emotions for some people.
6	Joyfulness /Commitment	Gratitude: Gratitude is discussed as thanks and past good memories and bad memories are highlighted with emphasis on gratitude.
7		Middle treatment session: review of treatment Reviewing the assignments and exercises of the previous sessions Feedback and goal review
8	Meaning/commitment	Contentment versus maximization: Contention versus maximization is discussed.
9	Joyfulness	Optimism and hope Clients fail to think over a period of time in an important task and are membered when they are not accepted by a person. Clients are then asked what other doors open when one door closes.

10	commitment/meaning	Love and attachment Clients are invited to discuss the identification of specific abilities of people according meaning.
11	Meaning	Genealogy of capabilities: The importance of capabilities is discussed.
12	Joyfulness	Reflective joyfulness: Reflective joyfulness is defined as the awareness of joyfulness and its intentional creation in the past.
13	Meaning	The Gift of Time: Ways to use special abilities to offer the gift of time to serve someone much more than yourself
14	Coherence	The concept of a fulfillment that integrates joyfulness, commitment and meaning is discussed. A post-test is taken

Ethical considerations in this research included voluntary participation of members in group counseling sessions, completion of questionnaires willingly by members, and confidentiality of information and names of individuals in the research. In the current research, SPSS-22 software was used for data analysis and multivariate covariance analysis was used to examine hypotheses.

Results

According to the results, in the control group there were 4 people aged 12 to 14 years (26.7%), 6 people aged 15 to 16 years (40%) and 5 people aged 17 to 18 years (33.3%) and in the experimental group there were 5 people aged 12 to 14 years old (33.3 percent), 7 people were 15 to 16 years old (46.7 percent), and 3 people were 17 to 18 years old (20 percent). Descriptive results of research variables are reported in Table 2.

Table 2. Descriptive results of mental toughness in pre-test and post-test scores of control and experimental groups

Group	Variable	Pretest		Posttest	
		Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Control	challenge	22.40	3.098	22.87	3.173
	Commitment	25.47	2.900	25.93	2.527
	Emotional control	20.43	3.461	20.93	2.881
	Life control	21.47	2.615	21.93	2.160
	self-confidence in abilities	22.60	6.631	23.20	6.109
	interpersonal self-confidence	15.87	3.623	16.53	3.357
	mental toughness	128.23	8.999	131.40	8.244
Experimental	challenge	23.93	3.039	26.16	2.989
	Commitment	25.67	3.716	28.41	4.348
	Emotional control	19.97	4.417	22.13	4.124
	Life control	21.87	2.549	23.90	3.127
	self-confidence in abilities	24.80	4.902	26.33	4.390
	interpersonal self-confidence	16.33	3.177	19.47	2.979
	mental toughness	132.57	7.475	146.39	9.308
Control	social actualization	12.27	3.900	13.33	3.579
	social integration	9.11	2.596	10.03	2.881
	social coherence	8.17	3.519	8.62	3.387
	social acceptance	14.11	4.319	14.57	3.811
	social contribution	16.31	2.689	16.46	2.682
	Social well-being	59.97	6.959	63.02	6.682
Experimental	social actualization	12.67	4.776	16.07	3.990
	social integration	8.53	2.560	11.33	2.582
	social coherence	8.90	3.334	10.74	3.465
	social acceptance	13.72	4.974	16.52	3.940
	social contribution	15.52	3.327	17.58	2.815
	Social well-being	59.34	7.340	72.24	6.098

In order to investigate the effectiveness of positive thinking training on mental toughness in derelict adolescents, multivariate covariance analysis was used. Shapiro-Wilk test was used to check the normality of the distribution of scores, which confirmed the assumption of normality of the distribution of scores due to the non-significance of the obtained values. The results of the homogeneity test of the regression slope of the pre-test and post-test scores in the experimental and control groups showed that the regression slope was the same in both groups (F=1.672, P>0.05). The results of Levin's test to check the homogeneity of the variance of the dependent variables in the groups showed that the variance of the component of challenge (F=3.25, P>0.05), commitment (F=1.24, P>0.05), emotional control (F=0.69, P>0.05), life control (F=2.78, P>0.05), self-confidence in abilities (F=0.23, P>0.05) and interpersonal self-confidence (F=0.52, P>0.05) in groups. The results of the Box-M test to check the equality of the covariance matrix of the dependent variables between the experimental and control groups also showed that the covariance matrix of the dependent variables in the two groups is equal (F=1.447, p > 0.05, Box-M =39.698). The results of Bartlett's sphericity test or the significance of the relationship between the variables showed that the relationship between these components is significant (x^2= 43.90, DF=20, p<0.01). After examining the assumptions of multivariate covariance analysis, the results showed that there is a significant difference between two groups in the mental toughness ($F_{6,17}$=4.030, p<0.01, Wilks Lambda=0.413). To investigate the difference more precisely, ANCOVA embedded in MANCOVA was performed. The results are presented in Table 3.

Table 3. Results of ANCOVA embedded in MANCOVA on mental toughness components

Variable	Source	SS	DF	MS	F	p	Eta
Challenge	Between group	24.097	1	24.097	7.192	0.014	0.246
	Error	73.713	22	3.351			
Commitment	Between group	26.067	1	26.067	13.463	0.001	0.380
	Error	42.597	22	1.936			
Emotional control	Between group	9.350	1	9.350	9.278	0.006	0.297
	Error	22.171	22	1.008			
Life control	Between group	21.329	1	21.329	8.469	0.008	0.278
	Error	55.407	22	2.518			
confidence in abilities	Between group	5.814	1	5.814	7.587	0.012	0.256
	Error	16.858	22	0.766			
interpersonal self-confidence	Between group	34.385	1	34.385	8.255	0.009	0.273
	Error	91.634	22	4.165			

According to Table 3, there is a significant difference between the two groups in the challenge component (F = 7.192, P < 0.05), in the commitment component (F = 13.463, P < 0.01), in the emotional control component (F=9.278, P<0.01), in the component of life control (F=8.469, P<0.01), in the component of self-confidence in abilities (F=7.587, P<0.05) and in the component of interpersonal self-confidence (F=8.255, P<0.01). Based on this, it can be concluded that there is a significant difference between the mental toughness of the control and experimental groups, and the intervention has increased mental toughness in derelict adolescents. Also, the effect size shows that the

intervention accounted for 24.6% variance of challenge, 38% variance of commitment, 29.7% variance of emotional control, 27.8% variance of life control, 25.6% variance of self-confidence in abilities and 27.3% variance of interpersonal self-confidence.

In order to investigate the effectiveness of positive thinking training on social well-being in derelict adolescents, multivariate covariance analysis was used. Shapiro-Wilk test was used to check the normality of the distribution of scores, which confirmed the assumption of normality of the distribution of scores due to the non-significance of the obtained values. The results of the homogeneity test of the regression slope of the pre-test and post-test scores in the experimental and control groups showed that the regression slope was the same in both groups (F = 0.566, P > 0.05). The results of Levin's test to check the homogeneity of the variance of the dependent variables in the groups showed that the variance of the social actualization component (F = 0.204, P > 0.05), the social integration component (F = 0.134, P > 0.05), social coherence component (F = 1.526, P > 0.05), social acceptance component (F = 0.010, P > 0.05) and social contribution component (F = 0.244, P > 0.05) is equal in groups. The results of the Box-M test to check the equality of the covariance matrix of the dependent variables between the experimental and control groups also showed that the covariance matrix of the dependent variables in the two groups is equal (F=0.487, p>0.05, Box-M=9.081). The results of Bartlett's test of Sphericity or the significance of the relationship between the variables showed that the relationship between these components is significant (x^2=48.325, DF=14, p<0.01). After examining the assumptions of multivariate covariance analysis, the test results showed that there is a significant difference between two groups in the social well-being (F=10.668, p<0.01, Wilks Lambda=0.263). To investigate the difference more precisely, ANCOVA embedded in MANCOVA was performed. The results are presented in Table 4.

Table 4. Results of ANCOVA embedded in MANCOVA on social well-being components

Variable	Source	SS	DF	MS	F	p	Eta
social actualization	Between group	32.208	1	32.208	9.139	0.006	0.284
	Error	81.060	23	3.524			
social integration	Between group	21.780	1	21.780	6.524	0.018	0.221
	Error	76.789	23	3.339			
social coherence	Between group	17.350	1	17.350	12.183	0.002	0.346
	Error	32.755	23	1.424			
social acceptance	Between group	35.723	1	35.723	6.561	0.017	0.222
	Error	125.220	23	5.444			
social contribution	Between group	22.234	1	22.234	11.731	0.002	0.338
	Error	43.592	23	1.895			

According to table 4, there is a significant difference between the two groups in social actualization (F= 9.13, P<0.01), social integration (F=6.524, P<0.05), social coherence (F=12.183, P<0.01), social acceptance (F=6.561, P<0.05) and social contribution (F=11.731, P<0.01). These findings show that there is a significant difference between the social well-being of the control and experimental groups. Based on this, it can be concluded that the intervention increased the social well-being of the

participants in the experimental group. Also, the effect size shows that the intervention has explained 28.4% variance of social actualization, 22.1% variance of social integration, 34.6% variance of social coherence, 22.2% variance of social acceptance and 338.9% variance of social contribution.

Discussion

The purpose of this study is to investigate the effectiveness of positive thinking training on mental toughness and social well-being in derelict adolescents. Our results indicated there is a difference between the experimental and control groups in mental toughness scores. Accordingly, it can be concluded that the intervention has increased mental toughness in derelict adolescents. The obtained result is consistent with the results of previous studies. For instance, (Kazemi et al., 2020) showed that positive thinking training has increased the indicators of psychological toughness in students by creating positive thoughts and mental structures. Also, the results of another study indicated that positive thinking training was effective on the psychological toughness of female students (Mortazavi Emami et al., 2019).

In the explanation of the above finding, it can be said that positive psychology as a new approach in psychology studies positive emotions and characteristics in humans and focuses more on the inherent strengths and talents of humans. Another goal of positive psychology is to identify concepts that help ensure health and mental toughness and benefit people from a healthy life. Teaching positive thinking changes the mental and behavioral characteristics of people towards positive thoughts. Positive psychology interventions are effective in increasing mental toughness by promoting positive feelings and thoughts, positive behaviors, positive cognition and perception (Carr et al., 2021). It can also be said that positive psychology intervention wherein the focus is on eliciting positive feelings, cognitions or behaviors, not only have the capacity to increase well-being, but can also decrease distress in populations with clinical disorders (Chakhssi, Kraiss, Sommers-Spijkerman, & Bohlmeijer, 2018).

Another finding of the research showed that positive thinking training increased social well-being in derelict adolescents. The obtained result is consistent with the results of previous studies. The study of Shoshtarirezvani et al. (2021) showed that teaching positive thinking significantly improves the mental health of students. In another study, the results indicated that teaching positive thinking based on religious teachings can improve the psychological well-being of mothers with autistic children (Rashidzadea et al., 2019). Also, the results of Sayadi Sarini et al. (2016) showed that positive thinking training is effective on the psychological well-being of elderly women. Ghiasi et al. (2016) also showed that positive thinking training increases students' emotional regulation and psychological well-being.

In the explanation above, it can be said that positive psychology is mainly concerned with strengthening one's abilities and competencies. In order to prevent mental disorders, people must have abilities such as hope, optimism, skill, perseverance, internal motivation and mental ability. Positive psychology seeks to make people stronger and more productive and to develop their talents. Positive

psychology is the scientific study of optimal and desirable human functions. It seems that in the current complex situation with the negative impact of Covid-19 Epidemic on various aspects of learning and motivation of learners, a positive psychological approach and positive interventions can be considered a worthy strategy for educational interventions (Samavi, 2022).

One of the limitations of the present study was the self-reporting questionnaire, the lack of a follow-up period and the implementation of the research in derelict adolescents. Therefore, caution should be observed in generalizing the results. Therefore, it is suggested to repeat the present study in other age groups in order to generalize the findings. Also, in future studies, the follow-up period should also be considered in order to study the stability of the effectiveness of the applied intervention. Based on the findings of the present study, it is suggested that the positive thinking training program be included in the educational programs of psychological counseling centers and other institutions related to derelict adolescents, and interventions based on positive psychology would be used to improve the personality and emotional qualities of adolescents.

References

Aghai, F., & Mirmohammadi, S. M. (2018). *Guardianship of children with bad guardianship*. Paper presented at the Second national conference and the first international conference on law and political science, Ardabil, Iran.

Ahangari, E., Eshaghi, M., Rasouli, N., & Tahan, M. (2019). Determine the Impact of Immunization Intervention (Communication Illustration) on the control of the anger of abandoned children. *Rooyesh-e-Ravanshenasi Journal(RRJ), 8*(5), 233-240.

Alizadeh, S., Raheb, G., Mirzaee, Z., & Hosseinzadeh, S. (2020). Effect of Social Competence Training on Tendency Towards High-Risk Behaviors in Male Adolescents Living in Welfare Boarding Centers. *Archives of Rehabilitation, 21*(1), 54-73. doi:10.32598/rj.21.1.2874.1

Babapour Kheiroddin, J., Toosi, F., & Hekmati, I. (2010). Study of Determinant Factors Role of Students' Social Well-Being. *Journal of Modern Psychological Researches, 4*(16), 1-19.

Bekhet, A. K. (2017). Positive thinking training intervention for caregivers of persons with autism: establishing fidelity. *Archives of psychiatric nursing, 31*(3), 306-310.

Carr, A., Cullen, K., Keeney, C., Canning, C., Mooney, O., Chinseallaigh, E., & O'Dowd, A. (2021). Effectiveness of positive psychology interventions: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Journal of Positive Psychology, 16*(6), 749-769.

Chakhssi, F., Kraiss, J. T., Sommers-Spijkerman, M., & Bohlmeijer, E. T. (2018). The effect of positive psychology interventions on well-being and distress in clinical samples with psychiatric or somatic disorders: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC psychiatry, 18*(1), 1-17.

Crust, L., & Clough, P. J. (2011). Developing mental toughness: From research to practice. *Journal of Sport Psychology in Action, 2*(1), 21-32.

Damari, B., Emami Razavi, S. H., Hajebi, A., & Elahi, E. (2021). The Pro-Social Behaviors: Necessity of the Promotion of Social Health ofIranians. *Journal of School of Public Health and Institute of Public Health Research, 19*(2), 123-136.

Dargahi, S., Mohsenzade, F., & Zahrakar, K. (2015). The effect of positive thinking training on psychological well-being and perceived quality of marital relationship on infertile women. *Positive Psychology Research, 1*(3), 45-58.

Dehghannezhad, S., Hajhosseini, M., & Ejei, J. (2017). Effectiveness of positive thinking skill training on social maladjusted adolescent girls' social adjustment and psychological capital. *Journal of School Psychology, 6*(1), 48-65.

Foroozanfar, A. (2020). Positive Psychology and the Qur'an: A Comparative Study of the Constructs of Hope, Resilience, and Forgiveness. *Iranian Evolutionary and Educational Psychology Journal, 2*(3), 208-224.

Ghiasi, S. E., Tabatabaee, T. S., & Nasri, M. (2016). Effect of optimism training on emotion regulation and psychological well–being in undergraduate students of University of Birjand, in 2014-2015. *Pajouhan Scientific Journal, 14*(4), 27-37. doi:10.21859/psj-140427

Ghoreishi Rad, F. S., & Pour JabbarAkhouni, F. (2019). Investigating the Relationship of Social Health and Personality Traits with High-Risk Behaviors of Tabriz University of Medical Sciences Students in 2017: A Descriptive Study. *Journal of Rafsanjan University of Medical Sciences, 18*(2), 107-120.

Grevenstein, D., & Bluemke, M. (2015). Can the Big Five explain the criterion validity of Sense of Coherence for mental health, life satisfaction, and personal distress? *Personality and Individual Differences, 77*, 106-111.

Kazemi, N., Mousavi, S. V., Rasoulzadeh, V., Mohammadi, S. T., & Mohammadi, S. R. (2020). The Effects of Positive Thinking Training on Psychological Hardiness and Social Competence of Students. *Quarterly Journal of Child Mental Health, 6*(4), 194-206. doi:10.29252/jcmh.6.4.18

Keyes, C. L., & Shapiro, A. D. (2004). Social well-being in the United States: A descriptive epidemiology. *How healthy are we*, 350-372.

Levy, A. R., Polman, R. C., Clough, P. J., Marchant, D. C., & Earle, K. (2006). Mental toughness as a determinant of beliefs, pain, and adherence in sport injury rehabilitation. *Journal of Sport Rehabilitation, 15*(3), 245-254.

Lindblad, C., Sandelin, K., Petersson, L.-M., Rohani, C., & Langius-Eklöf, A. (2016). Stability of the 13-item sense of coherence (SOC) scale: a longitudinal prospective study in women treated for breast cancer. *Quality of Life Research, 25*(3), 753-760.

Melhe, M. A., Salah, B. M., & Hayajneh, W. S. (2021). Impact of training on positive thinking for improving psychological hardiness and reducing academic stresses among academically-late students. *Educational Sciences: Theory & Practice, 21*(3), 132-146.

Morley, S. (2015). Single cases are complex Illustrated by Flink et al.'Happy despite pain: A pilot study of a positive psychology intervention for patients with chronic pain'. *Scandinavian journal of pain, 7*(1), 55-57.

Mortazavi Emami, S. A. A., Ahghar, G., Pirani, Z., Heidari, H., & HamidiPour, R. (2019). The Effects of Positive Psychology Intervention on Self-Efficacy and Psychological Hardiness of Female Students. *Quarterly Journal Of Family and Research, 16*(2), 67-82.

Otis-Green, S., & Juarez, G. (2012). *Enhancing the social well-being of family caregivers.* Paper presented at the Seminars in oncology nursing.

Packer, J., & Ballantyne, J. (2011). The impact of music festival attendance on young people's psychological and social well-being. *Psychology of Music, 39*(2), 164-181.

Rahmati, F., & Naaimikia, M. (2015). The relationship between emotional intelligence and psychological hardiness in athlete and non-athlete students. *Journal of Sport Management and Motor Behavior, 11*(22), 141-148. doi:10.22080/jsmb.2015.998

Rashid, T. (2009). Positive interventions in clinical practice. *JOURNAL OF CLINICAL PSYCHOLOGY, 65*(5), 461-466.

Rashid, T. (2015). Positive psychotherapy: A strength-based approach. *The Journal of Positive Psychology, 10*(1), 25-40.

Rashidzadea, A., Beyrami, M., Touraghashemi, T., & Mirnasab, M. (2019). The effect of teaching positive thinking skills based on spiritual resources and religious beliefs on resilience and psychological well-being of mothers with autistic children. *Women and Family Studies, 12*(43), 59-83. doi:10.30495/jwsf.2019.668799

Samavi, S. A. (2022). Positive Psychology Studies in Education. *Frontiers in psychology, 13*, 1-3.

Sayadi Sarini, M., Hojatkhah, S. M., & Rashidi, A. (2016). The Effectiveness of Positive Thinking Skills on Enhancement of Psychological Well-being and Decreased of Loneliness in Elderly Women. *Aging Psychology, 2*(1), 71-61.

Seligman, M. E., & Csikszentmihalyi, M. (2014). Positive psychology: An introduction. In *Flow and the foundations of positive psychology* (pp. 279-298): Springer.

Sharifikia, T., Ahangri, E., Askari, Z., & Tahan, M. (2019). The Effectiveness of Positive Psychotherapy on the Feeling of Hope and Psychological Well-being of Vitiligo Patients. *Rooyesh-e-Ravanshenasi Journal(RRJ), 8*(2), 133-142.

Shoshtarirezvani, M., Jaladati, S. F., Hojatansari, Z., & Bahrami, M. (2021). The effectiveness of positivity education on students' mental health. *New Approach in Educational Sciences, 3*(1), 40-45. doi:10.22034/naes.2020.257485.1068

Sohrabi, M., Abedanzade, R., Shetab Boushehri, N., Parsaei, S., & Jahanbakhsh, H. (2017). The relationship between psychological well-being and mental toughness among elders: Mediator role of physical activity. *IRANIAN JOURNAL OF AGEING, 11*(4), 538 - 548.